G.R.S. IX–5]

Previous references 1921. (Revenue)

File No. 265-21.

Serial Nos. ~~5 20~~ 5 to 8, 10, 12 to 20

Later references.
& 22-24
25-26
33-51
Revenue 38-29-1-2
194-30-1-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 14

Part B for Feb. 23.

SUBJECT

Appointment of a Committee of the Management of the Sri Subrahmanya Swami Temple at Subrahmanya. Puttur Taluk.

To

The Revenue Commissioner & Comptroller

No 2245 6. & 10-2-23.

Approves of the Revenue Commissioner's recommendation re. the Scheme suit & requests to take necessary action in the matter.

Printed on the Multigraph.

Secretary

G. R. S. 11] 191 .

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Appts of a Committee of management
of the ~~Bandhara to be opened at Ekbalpur~~
affairs of the Sir Subrahmanya temple &c

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 192/.

Serial No.	
	30- The Mungrai Superintendent & Secretary to Govt Viide & Subrahmanya are & more a close study of the requirements of the Bara bazar Sankarpanê and other matters connected with the respective services in the temple &c. She had also discussions with the Dep. Commrs and other local officers, and the Temple Trustees. Notes of inspection with specific recommendations are under preparation in the Superintendent's office and will be submitted for the orders of Govt separately in consultation with the Dep. Commrs. In the meanwhile the notes received from the Dep Commrs. are submitted for perusal. The expenditure on account of the current year's Sankarpanê was kept well within the sanctioned amount. Detailed accounts with vouchers have not yet been received from the Dep. Commrs. Submd Sd/- 15.2.22.
5	From The Dep. Commrs., Karvandh. Letter No. R.C 484 of 21-22 dt 14.2.22. —Forwards the applin. of Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao, Parpattegar of the Supreme Charities at Subrahmanya and recommends

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

Mar. for reasons stated in his previous note, the auditor of the Sakalesapur Taluk, viz. Malaikeerkalaramaya, viz. Thimmappaiya and viz. Srinivasa Rao may be included in the Committee of management, the T.A. of the auditor being debited to the Rev. dept. and a small provision of Rs 100/- being made in the Muzrai dept for the expenses of the non-official members.

Like for orders.

[illegible] 18/2/22

From K. Srinivasa Rao, Secretary of Temple, seeking permission to appoint non-official representatives on the Committee of Management of the Subramanya Temple. What was passed at Subramanya, I believe was that one Srinivasa Rao of Court, Manager for the Agraharam, of those representatives when proposed on a previous occasion of Management, came up before the Deputy Commissioner, & in view of Are we to ignore the Judgment of our own Courts &

6

31

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

To report the Judge's views regarding the Irrigation Scheme that has been come up before him for consideration.

Dist Judge
24/2/22

Mail 18/2/22

32. Dropped.

22/2

33 Legal Member 24/2/22

4521-107.
25-2-22

34. Papers proceed

Peel
24-2-22.

35 Home 24/2/22

7 To The Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] No 474 dated March 22

Enquires, if the District Judge, Mangalore, has been addressed in the matter of forming a Committee to look after the affairs of Sri Subrahmanya Swami temple.

Dirg 8

Lom -Do- No C 25769 of 4.4.22.

States, for reasons noted, that the best thing appears to be to move

NOTE—Contin ued

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Muzrai File No. 265 of 1921-22

Serial No.

the Government of Madras to ask the Collector to request the District Judge, Mangalore to depute the Representatives of the Mysore Government, joint powers of inspection, management & control over the affairs of the Sri Subramanyaswami temple at Subramanya.

36) Muzrai keeps orders on the paper under disposal :— "References." Initd/- A.V.R. 5/4/22.

38. Submitted with Muzrai File 265-21. for orders on the suggestion in para: 2 of the D.C's letter. The only other alternative would be for us to engage a local pleader & move the Dt. Judge.

5/4/22 5/4/22

5/4

5348-1149. 7.4.22

(8 members)

39. I think it would be better to move the Dt. Judge. Since the Collector of the District & we are interested in the proper management of this institution in view of the

NOTE—Contn sheet

41

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 265 of 1921.

Serial No.

Land same grants made by us and which we ought to make a move in the matter.

Ref
8.6.22

Mr Stewart.

40) Question is whether C.M. can receive income in view of the Trustees on behalf of his Deity to enjoy a Pleader

41) The Attorney behalf the respective Counsel

4
10/6

Mun R.O
No 128/11.4.22

42 Forwarded unofficially to the Government Advocate with Compliments for favour of opinion and suggestions

Mysore Commissioner
Muzrai Dept

10/4/22

No. Rg 113/22-2
of 12.4.22

43. The temple in question is one to which the provisions of Religious Endowments Act No XX of 1863 apply - in all probability. If I am right in this view then

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

The procedure to be adopted in securing the object in view, viz, to have some form representation on the Committee of Management of the Temple, will largely depend upon the rules made by Government if any fixing the number of members of the Committee etc.

4th. Under section 7 of the R.E. Act the Committee must have come into Existence immediately after that Act was passed, consisting of not less than 3 members. Once the committee was appointed, Government has no further authority to appoint another Committee as the section says "the Local Govt. shall once for all appoint one or more Committees..."

Provision is made in section 9 for the tenure of office of the Committee members, who hold office for life, unless removed for misconduct or unfitness and such removal can take place only by order of the Civil Court.

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 265 of 1921.

Serial No.

45. If the maximum number of members of the Committee as originally fixed by Government is already there it would be possible to have any more added on in view of the provisions of the Religious Endowments Act already referred to.

46 If, on the other hand, the temple is managed by hereditary trustees or Managers - the position will be still more difficult.

47. I am not also sure if we can avail ourselves of the provision of section 92, 93 of the Code of Civil Procedure ~~Code~~ which provide for the filing of a suit for settling a scheme for the management of the institution, by initiating proceedings with the consent of the Collector of the District. There are certain specific conditions under which only it is possible to take action and it is difficult to say if such conditions exist in the present case. Furthermore, as the question of need is covered

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

by the Religious Endowments Act it is not likely that the Collector would accord sanction to any action being taken under s. 92 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

48. If it is a Committee that is managing the affairs of the temple I am afraid we shall have to wait till there is a vacancy.

Under these circumstances the best course that can possibly be adopted is to address the Collector of the district on this point, drawing his attention to the several inconveniences felt at present and to the necessity for securing for some representative of the State a place on the Committee of Management. The question may be considered again after receipt of the Collector's reply.

49. Meanwhile each of the Representatives of our Government as might happen to be present on the occasion may also be requested to make enquiries as to the present

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 265 of 19 22

Serial No.

arrangements in existence for the management of the institution (whether it is managed by a Committee a hereditary trustee etc) and as to the possibility, in view of the existing rules or practice, of securing a place for our representative on the Council.

58. It appears to be unnecessary at this stage to engage any pleaders at Mangalam to secure the object in view. This question may perhaps be considered at a later stage, after taking the action indicated above.

12/4/22. Govt. Advocate

~~Draft to the D.C. Labour Officer~~

Urgent. Office.

61. Please note re. existence of Committee or of hereditary trustee, if any, in Mangalam institution and otherwise draft to Revt (full & clear)

Dr. —
12/4 —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 1921.

Serial No.

52) A draft letter to the Residency is put up-

18.4.22. 19.4.22

19/4/22

53) 18th member

54)

5765-(87)
22.4.22

Noted. This will be strictly followed in future. No member has since approached me for this procedure desired

55)

56) Secy. Up

57)

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Read. on. APR 25 1922
No. 5791

19. No. April 22

To The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Brit. Resident in Mysore Bangalore

Request that the Govt. of Madras may be kindly moved to take necessary steps to secure [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mng File No. 205 of 1922-2

Serial No.	
	of the enclosures to H. the Deputy Govt. in the Foreign Office.
12	From The Dy Council., Hassan Dt. Letter No 484-21/Oc D/9.8 1922. — Forwarding copy of letter received from the Collector of S. Canara, Mangalore Asking Solicitor orders of Govt in the matter of letters & evidence in the possession of the Govt regarding the alleged mismanagement of the Sri Subramanya-vasi temple &c & whether a scheme sent by Council is acceptable & to be added as a Schedule to any such Scheme &c & to depute Coun to help the Collector in the proceedings-enquiries &c.
	58) Though Govt's communication has been received by the Resident.
	59) The Dy. Council refers to the letter addressed to the DC & to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore & asks that a Copy of the Scheme &c as sent received by them.
	60) That Copy may now be sent & the Dy. Council requested to complete the enquiry ref & & in para 3 of his

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

letter received submitting the matter for the orders of Govt. Ref one accepts to lease of the collector vide d. with a draft for appl. or orders

C.B. 14.8.22.

89
14/8

1509. 2461) First Member) Ap 18/8/22
16.8.22.

b) Draft approved

Rec
4.8.22

To

18 The D.C. Hassan -

No 2000 - 22 - 22

Forwarded -

Copy -

Copy

14 From the D.C. Hassan., letter no. J.C.75 of 22-23 dt 22.10.22.

Forwards the report of the Amildar & S.D.O. of Sakleshpur about the mismanagement of the temple at Subramanya by the Manager Mr Anantaramasarma & states that it does not seem desirable

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 265 of 1921-2.

Serial No.

that the mysore Govt should appear before the Collector as an applicant for the scheme suit and subsequently in a court of law as a plaintiff; and that the Parpattegar of the temple is stated to be one of the persons, who have sought the sanction of the Collector for filing a suit in a court for a scheme of management and such evidence of mismanagt as may be available, may, perhaps, be let in through him -

63) The items of mismanagement recorded by the Amildar and the S. D. O. are the same as noted by the Mug. inspr in his memo of Notes -

64) [illegible]

65) [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

[illegible]

[illegible] securing [illegible] reservation of the interests of the [illegible] in the management of the [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

18.10.22 18/10/22

the Residency for the reply from may be awaited

15 From the Secretary to the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore.

Letter no. 830/394-1898 of 25.10.22.

Forwards a copy of letter no. 9527-1 dt 11.10.22 from the secretary to the Govt of Madras, Local self Govt. Deptt, for information with reference to letter no. 6086/Mug 265-21-10 of 29-4-22.

68 The Madras Govt has sent a copy of letter from the Collector of South Canara in the matter.

69 The application of Subbarow & Hebbar and four others for granting them permission to file a scheme is not opposed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mg. File No. 265 of 1922

Serial No.

is stated to have been dismissed after enquiry; and the Collector, states in his letter that the request of the Mysore Govt for a share in the management of the Sri Subramanyaswami Temple at S. mura will be borne in mind when occasion for occasion for act ⁊ . arises.

70. Subd for orders, with reference to sl. 6.

80 1/11/22 71. In the above circumstances, no further action can be taken in the matter.

72. It is also subd. that it is a matter for consideration whether on view of the ~~proposed~~ reported mis-management of the Mysore grants, it is not desirable to place the Bannuru Cash and Sandalwood grants under attachment, and make our own arrangements for the conduct of the Bapahagar Sandalwood Plantations without the interference of the Mysore and Temple Authorities, until the matters are finally & satisfactorily decided.

73. If approved the Mysore Govt may be asked about the [illegible]

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 19[illegible]

Serial No.

or otherwise of this arrangement and subsequent proposals in this matter as early as possible.

SG
2/11/22

A | 74. The file about the supplementary to be added in connection with the Bara Bazaar Sanderpane has been transferred to the Mercantile Assistant & Copy of the Madras Patti letter may be sent for him.

75 The attachment as marked above is not called for.
(Asst Member) 2/11/22

3817-202
7.11.22

76. A. approved.
Secy - 8/11/22
8.11.22

77 Draft letter to [illegible]
SD
[illegible]

16 [illegible] to see
Hon. Member.

78. a Copy of letter No 9527-1/
Lt-Gr. of 11.10.22 Government Secy
B to [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 265 of 1921

Serial No.	
17	From the D.C. Hassan., letter no. 484-21/G.C. & 4/5-12-22 Forwards a copy of South Canara Collector's letter No. 6015-22 & 22-11-22 and requests early orders of Govt. in the matter of the scheme suit for proper and better management of Sri Subramanyaswami temple at Subramanya.
N	79 In para 4 of his letter no. J.C. 75 of 22-23 & 2-10-22, the D.C. Hassan has expressed his opinion that it is not desirable for the Govt. to appear before the Collector as an applicant and subsequently in a Court of Law as Plaintiff and that such evidence of mismanagement as may be available may be got in through the Parivattegar of the temple, who is stated to be one of the persons who have sought the sanction of the Collector for filing a suit.
(enclosure to 5615)	80. In the Collector's letter [illegible] it is stated that no scheme suit appears to have been filed in regard to the temple. The D.C. states that this refers to a suit filed in March 1919 & dismissed by the collector; and that the scheme

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mys File No. 265 of 1922.

Serial No.

M
(endo: to Sl. 2)

Suit now in question is a recent one and is pending with the Collector
81 The Collector has, stated in his letter dt 15.6.22 that the request of the Mysore Govt for representation in the management of the temple would be borne in mind when occasion for action ~~arose~~ arose
82 In the light of the above facts it is a (1) point for orders as to whether the Dy: Comr may be informed that when occasion shd arise, evidence may be let in through the Parkattegar, as suggested by him -
83. It is also (2) a point for orders whether the Mys: Comr & the Govt Advocate may ~~the~~ be consulted in the matter.

SD 16.12.22 16/12/22

The Mys Comm
may be consulted

Draft etc - as pr -

SD 26/12/22 26/12/22

18 M. 1970 SD 2.1.23

Mys - Comm.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwz File No. 265 of 1921.

Serial No.

19

From the Mar Comr. Rc. C-935 of 1923 dt 29.1.23. States that he agrees with the Dy Comr Kanara Dt in considering that Nyamappa should not appear as an applicant before the Collector & that such evidence as is nec. for the Nyasa case should be let in thro' the Nyasa Parpattegars. &c.

The Mar Comr recommends that all possible help and available material for a successful conduct of the case may be given to the Parpattegars and that the Sub-Officer at Anilden be authorised to meet the Collector of South Canara for giving all info that may be found nec.

The recommendations of the Mar. Comr. may be approved & he may be requested to take all nec. action in the matter.

RG 1/2/23

Draft C. 1/2/23

SAP 1/2

Draft order submitted.

MK. 2.2.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

Final Memorandum 5/2/23

6414-III
5.2.23

Son approved
Rec
6.2.23 6/2/23

Secy
7/2/23

20 No 2245-6 dt 15/2/23 to the Hon. Comm. & the Comptr
Approves the recommendation of Hon. Comm. and requests to take necessary action in the matter promptly and submit a final report on the matter for the information of Govt.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D.C No.C217

Camp Subramanya,

Dated the 6th December 21.

Dear Mr. Jayarama Iyer,

I send you a note on the Barahazar Santharpane for ---- favour of your perusal and needful action.

Yours sincerely,

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Secretary to the Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Camp Subramanyam.

N O T E.

While the facts are still fresh, I shall note here the circumstances connected with the Barahazar Santharpane now going on in Subramanya.

2. The Muzrai Secretary and myself came to Subramanya on the evening of the Chathurthi day i.e., 3rd December 1921. We were received with proper honors and we visited the temple and surroundings.

3. I looked into the accounts of the Santharpane and found that the expenditure of food stuffs in the period preceding Panchami had exceeded the estimate that the Sub Division Officer had issued for guidance. It was found on enquiry that the extra issue of provisions was due to the insistence of the temple Manager, Mr. Anantharamasarma and the unwillingness of the subordinate staff sent in advance to risk a rupture with the Temple Manager. I gave instructions to the Amildar that the governing considerations mentioned in para 5 of my report of 13th May 1921 to Government should be adhered to at least from the date of our arrival.

4. The Temple Manager appears to have come to know of this decision and he started fight at once. In stead of settling matters by conversation, he wrote a letter to the Muzrai Secretary saying that the supplies for the Panchami day were not adequate for the Second Pangthi and that for the Shashti day there would be 2000 persons for the 1st Pangthi and 1000 for the 2nd Pangthi and concluded as follows:-

" Therefore kindly let me know how much of the Santharpane of the Mysore Government is yet to be done and whether it is possible to do the Santharpane tomorrow without complaint. If previous intimation is given to me now alone, it will be possible to make deficiencies good at least from the stores of the temple and carry on the Santharpane without difficulty. I request a very early reply".

5. The Muzrai Secretary referred the above communication of the Temple Manager to me for disposal and replied to the Temple Manager apprising him of that action. The Temple Manager wrote to me a letter

letter in terms identical with those employed in the letter to the Muzrai Secretary. I replied to the Temple Manager that, of the Barahazar Santharpane i.e.12000, according to Government Orders, a number of people not exceeding 6000 were to be fed in the temple and a number of people not exceeding 6000 were to be fed in the Matt, that on an examination of the accounts, about 13½ Pallas of rice had already been issued to the Temple that about 7½ Pallas more remained and that this quantity would be distributed according to discretion during the remaining days of the Santharpane to the Temple. I added that, if more people came than the Mysore Government provided for, the Temple and the Matt authorities had to make good the deficiency themselves. I concluded with a hope that the Temple Manager would kindly cooperate with our Officers and see that effect was given to the intentions of the Mysore Government.

6. The Temple Manager was evidently determined to force matters to an issue at once, so that the Shashti day might be spoilt if he could do so. He therefore wrote back at once asking categorically whether the provisions for 6000 persons would be supplied for the Shashti day and conveniently ignoring the statement in his previous letter that, if intimation was at once given of the extent to which we would go, he would make good the deficiency, he took a different position now.,viz., that he had no previous notice, that he could not do any Santharpane over and above what we did and that if he had previous notice he would have been able to make good any deficiencies that arose. He said he wanted to have a copy of the Government Orders that I had referred to.

7. It was clear that the object of the Temple Manager was to carry on a protracted and unnecessary correspondence on the eve of an eventful and busy day and to raise as many difficulties as possible. I replied in writing to the Temple Manager that with regard to the provisions required, he might inform the Amildar and get necessary arrangements made and that it was not necessary to be writing to me often. As regards Government Orders, I said that the Manager was already aware of them, that the matter had been discussed

discussed in the previous year with him and that he might get the necessary reference on a request to the Amildar. I said that, in anticipation of requirements, the Temple Manager might have obtained the provisions in time and that if now, room was given for complaint, the responsibility would not be on the representatives of the Mysore --- Government. I added that it was understood that before I reached Subramanya provisions had been taken from our representatives on a scale larger than that provided for by the Sub Division Officer and concluded as follows.-

" Please take as much of the food stuffs as our representatives give you and if that is not enough, please supplement it with provisions from the Temple. As correspondence leads to avoidable delay it is desirable that personal conversation should be allowed to usefully replace correspondence."

8. At the same time as I issued the above letter to the Manager of the Temple, I sent a copy to the Amildar and the Muzrai Secretary. The Muzrai Secretary, the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer who happened to be present were consulted by me throughout. I gave instructions to the Amildar confidentially that the Shashti day should be made a success entirelywith our own provisions and that no room should be given for complaints.

9. The Temple Manager evidently saw that we were going to make the Shashti day a success. He accordingly adopted other measures to --- create an incident for which he was evidently striving all the time. I had arranged for worship of my own in the Temple and with the Muzrai Secretary I took up a place for Breakfast in the Santharpane at the place in the temple occupied by me last year with the knowledge and assistance of the Temple Manager himself. I understand this is the place generally set apart for Officers who visit the Temple and take Breakfast in the Santharpane. The Temple Manager came to where we were, made us get up from the place, took us to a place outside the inner Prakara and asked us to sit in a place where, as the Muzrai Secretary observed, there was not even place to spread leaves in front of us. I asked the Temple Manager why he did not give us the place in the inner prakara

Prakara where he seated us in the previous year. He said that last year he had treated us like friends and that this year he was not prepared to do the same, as there were other Brahmins to sit in the place during the 2nd Pangthi whom he did not want to disoblige. I did not want to raise a dispute just then as the Temple Manager was ~~evidently was~~ evidently determined to make the Shashti Santharpane a failure and to throw the blame on us. I therefore merely said that I noted what he said and proceeded to sit in the place which the Temple Manager pointed out. But it was really impossible to sit in the place as leaves could not be adjusted in front of us. Then we thought that the best thing was to go inside the inner Prakara and sit like other Brahmins in the vacant space that was available. Everything went well for a --- time, but soon some people began to roll right on our leaves ostensibly performing the religious ceremony of rolling. But the gentleman presented noticed that the persons rolling on our leaves were the servants of the Temple drawing pay from the Temple Manager. It was obvious that the Temple Manager had intended to disgrace us, because we were enforcing the Government Orders. As the Temple Manager has control over the Temple servants, and as it was then a late hour in the afternoon when Brahmins were waiting for food and as it was not desirable that we -- should give any handle to the Temple Manager to say that we violated the Mamool, I contented myself with saying that I noticed the discourtesies shown. The Muzrai Secretary and myself them moved on to the Matt Building where the Swami received us with courtsey and gave us Thirtha and Prasada for the day.

10. The Shashti Santharpane in the temple was properly conducted under the supervision of the Amildar, Mr. Thammaji Rao, who supervised the feeding in the 1st Pangthi along with our Non-Official Supervisor Mr. Thimmappiah and sat down for meals only in the 2nd Pangthi. The Temple Manager, Mr. Anantharamasarma after he created the incident with respect to us, went away and came only about the end of the 1st Pangthi to see what flaws he could find in the Santharpane. He had not deputed a proper number of servers for the Santharpane, but several Brahmins from the Mysore State who saw the behaviour of the manager and sympathised with our position cheerfully came forward to

to serve the meals and everything was done to the satisfaction of the devotees who sat down for meals. The Agent of the Matt gladly offered the services of his servers in case the Temple Manager's obstructive method was spoiling our Santharpane. But, as luckily several Brahmins of the Mysore State had come forward to help and serve, as stated above, it was unnecessary to make use of the profered help of the Matt.

11. The Temple Manager in going round the 1st Pangthi, maliciously observed that ghee had not been served over Saru. But, our Non-Official Supervisor Mr. Thimmappiah who was supervising the Santharpane promptly sent ghee to the spot and found that ghee was not wanted by the people as it had already been served. On the previous day, i.e., Panchami, the Temple Manager, Mr. Anantharamasarma had gone round the rows of the Brahmins sitting for Breakfast and told them that the Mysore Government Santharpane was dwindling, that there was only one Vade in stead of two as usual etc. On the Shashti day, Mr. Thimmappiah our Non-Official Supervisor caught the cooks of the Temple Manager hiding away a good quantity of Uddinabele without working it up into cake as they ought to have done.

12. The self importance, the inaccessibility, the fiery temper, the unreliability, and want of dignity and want of courtesy on the part of the Temple Manager, Mr. Anantharamasarma make him an impossible man to deal with. He is an autocrat evidently fearing no one and having very little scrutiny exercised over his actions the motives of which are freely criticised by those obviously having access to information.

13. The worship in the Vasantha Manthapa on the night of Panchami was done at a most unreasonable hour so that important persons could not be present at that function. The Avabhrutha Snana for the God Subramanya was performed at so late an hour on Sapthami that crowds of devotees who went to the river to witness the function and to have a bath in the river had to come back disappointed. I was one of those unfortunate persons who came back disappointed and the Huzrai Secretary may recollect that he too had to go away on account of the lateness of the hour

hour. All this mismanagement ~~xxxxx~~ in the temple is due to the Manager.

14. We cannot go on with the present Temple Manager, Mr. Anantharamasarma. He is an impossible person to deal with. Efforts have to be made to remove him from his present post. I understand that there is a proposal before the Collector of South Canara to sanction an application to the District Judge for having a scheme for the better management of the affairs of the temple. It is, I think, very necessary that we should request the Collector, to have the scheme brought about and should take action to have representatives of our own Official and Non-Official, on the Committee of the management of the temple. We -- have daily services in the Temple in addition to the Santharpane in the Champa Shashti Festival and we cannot afford to be at the mercy of an autocratic and irresponsible Temple Manager like, Mr. Anantharamasarma. We must have control co-extensive with our interests.

15. But in addition to requesting the Collector of South Canara to move in the matter of a scheme, it is absolutely necessary to have a strong committee of ~~the~~ Dharmadarsis to secure the proper administration of the Mysore Government charities. It is not sufficient to have only one Dharmadarsi, as it is necessary to have more than one person as Dharmadarsi in order to enlighten us correctly about mamul, as local personal antoganisms cam be resolved into smoothness more easily by those responsible by having a sufficient number of men of capacity and different interests on the Committee of Dharmadarsis, and as it is necessary that our Dharmadarsis should be sufficiently numerous so that we can have an alternative set of Dharmadarsis to carry on our work in case one set of them go on strike for any reason for example to overawe us into following their own policy in preference to ours, as our present Dharmadarsi Mr. Anantharamasarma is capable of doing ~~the Temple managements~~ the Temple Manager.

16. The reorganisation proposed in paras 14 and 15 supra has to precede the reforms in the Santharpane that we are contemplating as the reforms cannot be easily carried out without the reorganisation.

Para 17

17. I shall submit further notes according to necessity on the Santharpane that is now proceeding.

B. Venkoba Rao

Camp Subramanya, Deputy Commissioner,

Dated the 6th Dec. 1921. Hassan District.

80 2715

Camp Subramanya,

Dated the 5th Dec. 1921.

Dear Mr. Jayarama Iyer,

I send you for your information as you are in camp here, a copy of letter from the Manager of the temple together with a copy of my reply to him.

Yours sincerely,

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department, Camp Subramanya.

No 22/14

[illegible] ಆಫೀಸ್

ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜರು

ಮ॥ ರಾ॥ ಅನಂತರಾಮ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಇವರಿಗೆ –

[illegible] 72 / 4/12/21 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಬರೆಯುತ್ತೇನೆ.

B. [illegible] Rao

Camp Subramanya
5/12/21

R no 79 ನಿಮ್ಮಿಂದಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಸಹಿ
ಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿರಿರಿ ಎಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ---

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ
ಸಭ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೆ ಸವಿನಯ ಪೂರ್ವಕ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಬಹು
ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ
ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಬಹಳ ಶ್ರಮಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು
ತಿಳಿದಿರುವುದಷ್ಟೆ. —

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ
ಹಣ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಲು ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು ಮನೆ ಮನೆಗೆ ಭೇಟಿ
ಕೊಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳು ಅಂತರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ
ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಂತರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಹಣ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ
ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು ಅಂತರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಹಣ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ
ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು
ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ
ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಭ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರ
ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು ಸಭ್ಯರ
ಮನೆಗೆ ಭೇಟಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ ಸಭ್ಯರು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು

No 2249
Enc 01

These three papers were received on Dec 21 & have been perused with the file today. Kindly see the papers he desired in mine read and then worked to B/

18/12/22

H a s s a n,

Dated the 12th December 1921.

Dear Mr. Jayarama Iyer,

I send you a second note on Subrahmanya affairs for your kind perusal and needful action.

Yours sincerely,

B Venkoba Rao

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire,
B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,

Second Note on the Barahazar Santharpane at Subrahmanya

December 1921.

On the Sapthami day the Muzrai Secretary and myself did not go to breakfast either at the Temple or at the Matt, not only because breakfast would be late there and a day for urgent Office work was essential, but also because, while the Matt, according to custom invited us for breakfast, the Temple Manager did not invite us for breakfast according to time-honoured mamool and it appeared to be the dignified course to remain aloof for the day.

2. It was found in the course of the day that 2 members of the Committee of management for the Temples in the Taluk were present at Subrahmanya. These members viz., Messrs: Ramanna Sakrithaya and --- Ananthabhatta had been entirely in the background, in the proceedings of the previous days, as the Temple Manager Mr. Anantharama Sarma was making himself conspicuous beyond all bounds without bringing forward the members of the Committee who were really his superiors, and as the members of the Committee evidently did not possess individuality enough to assert themselves in-spite of the Temple Manager's pushfulness. I was not sure how far the members of the Committee of management were under the influence of the temple manager, and to make matters sure, I sent a written request through Mr. Thamma ji Rao, Amildar of Manjarabad Taluk to the two Committee members to meet me and the Muzrai Secretary in the evening. The members of the Committee appeared in response to this request and in the course of the conversation they admitted that the discourtesy shown to us on the Shashti day was regretted by them and that they were not able to make themselves felt as they would desire as they had been appointed members of the Committee of management by the District Judge only a year ago and as this was their first visit to the Barahazar Santharpane. After an exchange of views, the non-official supervisors of the Santharpane who had been sent from Saklespur, particularly

Mr. Thimmappiah

Mr. Thimmapiah pointedly asked the members of the Committee of management what they proposed to ~~make~~ do to make amends for the discourtesy shown on the Shashti day to the Muzrai Secretary and myself. I said that the only course for the members of the Committee was to take the direction of affairs into their own hands by superseding the Temple Manager and to show on the next day the courtesy that had been withheld from us on the Shashti day. The members of the Committee left without giving a definite answer. Evidently, they meant to consult the Temple Manager and see how far they could go.

3. Meanwhile, the Senior Swami of the Subrahmanya Matt who had returned from tour to the Matt on the Panchami day sent an invitation to the Muzrai Secretary and myself saying that he wished us particularly to take part in the breakfast of the Ashtami day as he intended it to be also the breakfast usually given after return from pilgrimage. It was difficult to decline ~~and~~ an invitation given by the Senior Swami of the Matt who is held in high reverence by all parties. Accordingly, the Muzrai Secretary and myself accepted the invitation of the Swami for the Ashtami day.

4. On the morning of the Ashtami day, the Temple Manager met me in the Temple Prakara of Sri Subrahmanyeswaraswami Temple and publicly apologised in the presence of Mr. Malali Venkataramiah our non-official supervisor from Manjarabad Taluk and of Mahavidwan Siddhanta Shiva Shankara Sastry of the Mysore Palace, for the discourtesy ---- shown to me on the Shashti day both in my personal capacity and in my capacity as a representative of the Government of Mysore. After apologising, the Temple Manager invited me for breakfast in the temple. I said that the apology and invitation for breakfast should be tendered to the Muzrai Secretary, Mr. T.E. Jayarama Iyer also, and added that the invitation for the breakfast should come from members of the Committee. My object in insisting on an invitation from the members of the Committee was to make it clear that as we acted only on the invitation of the members of the Committee, we were free to deal with the case of the Temple Manager as it deserved and had not

precluded

precluded ourselves from so doing by acting on the apology and the invitation of the Temple Manager alone, although the apology of the Temple Manager is of course a fact to be taken into consideration when the case of the Temple Manager is looked at as a whole.

5. The Temple Manager apologised to the Muzrai Secretary also and the members of the Committee invited for breakfast as I had suggested The original idea was that the breakfast in the Temple should take place on the Ashtami day, and when the invitation for the Ashtami day came, my idea was to excuse ourselves to the Swami and go to the Temple by preference on the Ashtami day for breakfast in the Temple before we left Subrahmanya, at the very place in the Temple from which we had been ousted on the Shashti day would be a visible and immediate vindication of the prestige and dignity of the Government of Mysore. The Senior Swami of the Matt however, commands so much reverence that all parties agreed that it would be a sad thing to spoil his breakfast party intended to celebrate his return from pilgrimage. The breakfast in the Temple intended for Ashtami day was therefore proposed to be adjourned to the Navami day. It involved some change of plan on the part of the Muzrai Secretary and myself to accept the invitation for the Navami day, but as the Muzrai Secretary and myself had --- brought our cars to Kulagunda which is about 3 miles from Subrahmanya and as it was an important matter to vindicate the dignity and prestige of the Government of Mysore, the Muzrai Secretary and myself consented to stay for breakfast in the Temple on the Navami day and arranged to start at a later hour on the return journey up the Ghat for 18 miles to Bisle.

6. The Santharpane in the Matt on the Ashtami day passed off very well. The members of the Temple also came to breakfast in the Matt and cordiality prevailed.

7. Breakfast in the Temple came off as arranged on the Navami day and it was a successful function. We took leave of the Swami in the Matt and of the Committee members and left for Bisle late in the

evenin

evening and reached Bisle just before dusk without any more adventures than seeing a tiger on the way which ran away after seeing our cars.

8. The members of the Committee promise reforms in the management of the Temple in the course of a year. Their idea seems to be to take away the autocracy of the present Temple Manager by associating with him strong colleagues. The Senior Swami of the Matt has evoked so much admiration from all parties by his saintliness that probably his nomination to the Chairman-ship of a Committee of Dharmadarsis of the Temple would be a vital part of the scheme that is likely to be submitted to the District Judge. We have always had a vital share in the management of Temple affairs by having a Parpathegar of our own, by having as our own one of the two Agamiks who keep custody of the sanctuary with the jewels in it and by giving pay of our own in addition to their other pay to the important Temple servants. When the members of the Committee of management for the Taluk have promised reform, it is not necessary for us to move suo motu in the matter of a scheme, but when a scheme is presented to the District Judge, we should have information and should offer our own suggestions to the District Judge. The Amildar of Mangarabad Taluk will be asked to keep in confidential touch with affairs in Subrahmanya and intimate if any --- scheme is presented to the District Judge for approval and our legal representative if any in Mangalore may also be given instructions by the Government to watch our interests and let the Government know if a scheme is presented to the Court of the District Judge.

9. On the evening of the Ashtami day, the Muzrai Secretary held a meeting and discussed the questions connected with Subrahmanya. I expressed my opinion that the Parpathegar of the Mysore Government should hereafter be made an important person as was evidently intended before by carrying on all our correspondence with him. This would be one way of reducing the autocracy of the Temple Manager,

Mr. Anantharama Sarma. There was a proposal to abolish the appointment of the Mysore Agamik in the Temple. My opinion is that the Agamik should continue, as his appointment emphasises the joint control by the Mysore Government of the sanctuary with all the jewels in it. As regards the reduction of expenditure on the Barahazar Santharpane, my opinion is that we have already almost ---- reached the irreducible minimum and that further retrenchments if any are possible should follow the reform in the management of the Temple and can not well precede it.

20. After the present Temple Manager tendered an apology, I do not think it necessary to make efforts to secure his complete removal from his present post. If steps are taken to take away his autocracy by associating strong colleagues with him and by insisting on our rights of inspection and control in the scheme to be framed, I think we may try Mr. Anantharama Sarma some time longer and see whether he does not improve himself.

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Very urgent

No. Roc 484/21-22 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

Dated Camp Banavar the 12th February 22.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

T.R. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, the application of K.Srinivasa Rao, Parpathegar of Sri Subrahmanyaswami Temple at Subrahmanya, praying that he may be recommended for inclusion in the list of Trustees forming the executive Committee of the said Temple, I have the honor to invite your kind reference to my notes on the affairs of Subrahmanya during our combined visit to the place on the occasion of the recent Saraahazar Santharpane. As affairs stand now, the position of the Mysore Government which has been spending over Rs 5000/- every year towards the expenses of the Temple is no better than that of a private devotee who is simply at the mercy of the Temple authorities for the conduct of the seva without any voice of his own in its proper conduct. The present Manager of the Temple, -- Anantharamasarma seems to exercise unrestrained control over the affairs of the Temple and the present Committee of trustees have not been able so far to effectively control him.

2. Under these circumstances, it is a matter for consideration whether it is not desirable that the Mysore Government should have a right to return some of their representatives

to the Committee of Trustees to safeguard their interests in the affairs connected with the Temple.

3. I hear that Mr. Thimmappaiya, our non-official representative had an interview with Mr. Ellis, Collector of South Canara after we left Subrahmanya and it appears that some move from us in the matter of improving the administration of the Temple affairs is expected. I would suggest that, 1. The Amildar, 2 Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya, 3. Mr. Thimmappaiya and 4 Mr. Srinivasa Rao, Parpathegar may be recommended to the Collector and District Judge as our representatives to be included in the Committee of Management for Subrahmanya Temple.

4. As for the attendance of Mysore members at each meeting of the Committee and the cost of the journey to and fro, I beg to state that as Srinivasa Rao is the man on the spot, his attendance could easily be secured at every meeting. But with regard to the 3 members who --- happen to be at Saklespur, they may be asked to visit the place and arrange matters in consultation with their colleagues at the time of the Barahazar Santharpane and their presence on other occasions will not be required. But if the presence of the other members is considered necessary on other occasions also, one or more of these 3 members may be asked to attend each Meeting according to necessity.

5. As for the cost of the journey of the members, the travelling allowance of the Amildar will be debited to the Revenue Department and a small provision of Rs 100/- may kindly be ordered to be made in the Muzrai Budget under the Barahazar Santharpane expenses for the expenses of the non-official members. Considering the advantages that are likely to be derived under such an arrangement the small provision of Rs 100/- now proposed may not be considered unreasonable

6. I request the favour of very early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3602

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Section Mu3

File No. 265-21

Serial No. 7

No. 4745

Sd. M. ...

Date of issue 2-3-22

To

The Dy. Commissioner,

Kandy.

Signed by Mr. V. E. Jayco

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Roc 484 of 21-22 of 14.2.22, forwarding the application of the Abbone Parapattea at Libralemanya, praying for his inclusion in the list of Trustees governing the Executive Committee of the Sri Libralemaneyswaraswami temple, I am directed to state that it is Considered desirable ~~Quite necessary~~ that

over.

Representatives on the Committee of management ~~are to be~~ When the matter was recently discussed at our discussions at Libralmanga it was understood that the Panel of names for the Dt. Judge, changelong, should be moved for the appointment of such representatives when proposals for a fresh scheme of management came up before the Dt. Judge. I am therefore to request you to be so good as to let me know as to whether anyone has ~~or~~ moved the Dt. Judge, ~~or whether we have to move~~ the subject of our own accord or whether a request may be made of the Dt. Judge to give us notice of any fresh scheme that may come up before him for consideration.

2.... A similar petn. received from Mr K Srinivasa Rao in the matter is also enclosed An early reply is requested.

I have &c.

DBK) W 23/2/22

Secy.

List of enclosures.

Rec'd. 27.2.22

Copied by

Examined by

No.C25769 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

Dated Hassan, the 4th April 1922.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.4745/Muz.265-21-7 dated the 2nd March 1922, I have the honor to state that I have some oral information that two persons have moved the District Judge for a Scheme. This information has not yet been confirmed officially. The Temple Manager Mr. Anantharamasarma appears to have secured the good will of the Senior Swami of the Subrahmanya Matt. The Younger Swami of the Matt together with the former agent of the Subrahmanya Matt appear to have left Subrahmanya in consequence of the coalition between the Temple Manager, Mr. Anantharamasarma and the Senior Swami of the Matt. The Senior Swami is an estimable gentleman of spiritual earnestness, but his capacity in worldly arrairs is doubted by those in the know and it is believed that the Temple Manager, under the nominal control of the Senior Swami of the Matt will carry on things pretty much in the old way even hereafter.

Under the circumstances, the best thing for the Government of Mysore appears to be to move the Government of Madras

to ask

to ask the Collector to request the District Judge to grant the representatives of the Mysore Government joint powers of inspection, management and control.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

B Seetharama Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

265-21-10.

6086 mug 29-4-22.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble
the British Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to address you regardi His Highness the Maharaja's charities in connection with the Sri Subramanyaswami ~~t~~ temple and Sampushta Narasimhaswami Matt Subramanya in the South Canara District.

2. On account of the high estimation in which the Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple in question is held, His Highness Government pays annually a cash grant of - - - - Rs.581-13-0 to the temple for Paditara and Deeparadhane expenses, besides an annual grant of Rs.2,000/- for Barahazar Santarpane, i.e., the feeding of 12,000 Brahmins at Subramanya (6,000 in the temple and 6,000 in the Matt) on the occasion of the Champa Shasti festival, which takes place annually for about 20 days ~~by~~ about the end of November or the beginning of December. An annual grant of sandal-wood is also paid both to the temple and to the Matt. An elephant was gifted to the temple by this State in the year 1890.

3. A Parpathegar has also been appointed to look after the Mysore Government charities at the place.

4. The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore and the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District who were both present at Subraman a last December to supervise the conduct of the last Barahazar santarpane.- -

28/4/22

noticed that the management of the charities left much to be desired and have expressed the opinion that in view of the large amount spent by the Government of Mysore annually on the charities at Subramanya, the State should have adequate voice in the management of the affairs of the temple, particularly as the conduct of the present manager Mr. Anantarama Sarma is unsatisfactory.

5. It is understood that there is a proposal before the Collector and the District Judge of South Canara for a revision of the scheme for the management of the affairs of the temple. In the interests of the temple, it is absolutely necessary to appoint a strong committee of management consisting of influential persons at Subramanya and elsewhere. Any such committee, the Resident will doubtless agree, should consist also of an - - - adequate number of Mysore representatives in view of the large interests involved and the time honoured connection of the Mysore Royal House with this institution.

6. In these circumstances, I am to request that the Government of Madras may be kindly moved to take the needful steps to secure an adequate representation of the interests of the Mysore Government in the management of the temple at Subramanya.

I have &c.,

Secr y to Gov. nment, Muzrai dept.,

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

22-23

No.484-21/O.C. Dated, Hassan, the 9th August 1922

2 annexures

611

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

M. F. 265-21-12

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

11 AUG 1922

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 4015-22/Ei dated 2nd August 1922 from the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore, wherefrom it appears that one Srinivasa Bhatta and 3 others have filed a petition before the Collector for permission to institute a suit for framing a scheme for proper and better management of the Sri Subramanya temple in Uppinangady Taluk. The Collector invites reference to Muzrai Secretary's letter No.6086/Muz.265-21-10 dated the 29th April 1922 to the Secretary to the Honorable the Resident in Mysore and wants to know whether the Mysore Government desire to let in evidence regarding the alleged mismanagement of the temple and to institute a scheme suit. As a copy of the Muzrai Secretary's letter referred to by the Collector, has not been sent to me, I am not in a position to know the nature of the communication referred to in the above. Mr.T.E. Jayarama Iyer's notes of inspection dwell at length on the affairs connected with the annual Barahazaar Santarpane and so far as I could gather from them, the following items go to show that there is mismanagement, which deserve to be suitably noticed.

(1) ' The amount allotted for Nityakatle expenses is not properly applied'.

(1) The quantity of sandal wood supplied to the temple does not seem to be properly used in full and a good portion of it seems to be saved as the manager of the temple recently sold 30 maunds, of sandle wood in Bangalore.

(3) The present manager's attitude in throwing impediments in the way of proper conduct of Barahazaar Santarpane is objectionabl

50

2. As for the scheme suit, Mr. T.E. Jayarama Iyer has given his opinion in the notes, that 3 or 4 members appointed by Mysore Government, should be on the committee of management of the temple appointed by the South Canara authorities.

3 The Sub Division Officer of Saklespur and the Amildar of Manjarabad Taluk have also been requested to submit a complete report in regard to acts of mismanagement.

4 I request that you will be so good as to obtain orders of Government in the matter and communicate the same tome early.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant.

K Anjanappa Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

In Hassan District.

Letter No.4015-22-E1 dated 2nd August 1922 from the Collector of South Kanara to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

57

I have the honor to state that one Shrinivasa Bhatta and 3 others have filed a petition before me under section 92 of Act V of 1908 (Civil Procedure Code) for permission to institute a suit forframing a scheme for proper and better management of the Sri Subramania temple in Uppinangady Taluk, and the petition is ponding disposal. In view of the letter No. 6086,Muz., 265-21-10 dated the 29th April 1922 from the Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department (In Charge) to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, I wish to know whether the Mysore Government desire to let in evidence in their possession regarding the alleged mis-management of the temple and to institute a scheme suit if sanction is accorded or add as plaintiff in such suit and if so the depute some one now to help me in the present enquiry and urge the claims of the Government for getting sanction to launch the scheme suit. On hearing from you, I shall fix a date if necessary for

P.T.O.

for the appearance of your officer.

" C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

57

మా.రా.రా మైసూర్ సంస్థానపు ముఖ్యాధికారికి

R.No 37 రిజిస్టరు పరుగళ సన్నిధానికే

దక్షిణ కన్నడజిల్లా లుప్టవంశదికాలు శ్రీకపంబ్రహ్మర్షిదేవస్థానపు

మైసూరిక్కమే పారుపత్యదార్ కుప్పళ్ళ్ కే చంబాళగుర్తే శ్రీనివాస

రావను బరపుకొండ అర్జీ. —

Seen in like the
merely how the
has carried
Please put up
the file.
27/7/12

I సదరు కపస్థానళ సవారియు యిదు కళ్ళ చంద్రకళ్ళమే హొళ్ళవరు

మేళ్ శ్రీక్షేత్రకపంబ్రహ్మర్షిదేవస్థానవన్ను మాడ్సువుదక్కే. శ్రీదేవస్థానదవరే

లవ్వనదవళికెగళ విచారవ్యవస్థేయన్ను మాడ్సువుదక్కాగి మే

మైకరింవంల్ మూల్ల కొంకర్ మాడికొండిద్దవను ఎంబారురిక్కనదవళు.

అత్యర్థక్కవశ్యవేయిల్లవెందు విచారిసుత్తేనే. — ఎందు విచారిసి అదన్ను

కొడ్సుకొడబేకాగి ప్రార్థిసుత్తేనే. —

II శ్రీకపంబ్రహ్మర్షిదేవస్థానద ప్రకృత్తిగళన్ను నోడి వచ్చ చ్యుత్యవు మాడ్ల

సూర్ణవతనోమంత్ కేరళ యిరబేకాగి, అదరంతే ఎందు యిరలు

రవు నన్న మేలాధికారిగళు తూర్మానిసిద్దారే. ఇదు గవనదల్లిరిసి శ్రీక్షేత్రకపంబ్రహ్మర్షి

దేవస్థానవర కోరికెయన్ను కొడబేకాగి కోరి 29 12/21 ల్లి

నంబ్ర 13 నేయ అర్జీయన్ను మూలలేఖనవాగిసి యిరుత్తిరువుదు

దరింద నవళిగె అదన్ను కొడిసబేకాగి ప్రార్థిసుత్తేనే. —

Your most obedient servant

Subd

As a communication has gone to the Residency in the matter and the question is therefore pending disposal, the facts will, if afterwards in the connection

24.7.12 24/7/12

24/7/12

53

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——————

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* *191* .

Date of issue——————

Signed by——————

Section Music
File No. 484-21
Serial No.
No. 928 Exc 1/1
21 22 122

To The Dy. Commissr Cmr.
of Acc at Dr

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No. 484-21. dt. Dy 9th Aug: 1922
regarding the visibility of the
Lui- & or Framing a Scheme
for the Acc in Management of
the Dr Subordinate Music
Guild in Khandesh & Guild
& ame directed to Surrender
receipts I Exp & Suit No. 6086

over. B 2000-2-22

Grant with effect from 1/9/22

Sir

By 29th April 1922 Ao ordered
to the Secretary to the Kontrôle
the British Resident in Nsoone
Aw & to request you to take & to
add as to complete the
inquiry referred to in para 3
of your letter Aw & subsequent
the Awalla for the orders of,
Adu, left one a fui a l'
Asp 3 is sent to the Collector
of St Camara. to whom an
acknowledgement may meantime be sent
2 The Awalla must be treated as
to Expeditious Immediate

Have &c.,
DOR) W. P. 15/8/22
Resident.

Res
198 N

List of enclosures.

0 Mag
14/8
8D
14/8

Copied by

Examined by

55

No. J.C.75
22-23
Encs.2.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT,
DATED CAMP YSORE THE 2nd OCTOBER 22.

H.H. THE MAHARAJA'S SECRETARIAT
MUZRAI
1009
22-23

Muz 265-21-14 ST

12/10

From

K. Nylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

T.S. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.928/Muz.265-21-13 dated the 24rd August 1922 forwarding a copy of your letter No.6006/ S.F.265-21-10 dated 29th April 1922, addressed to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore, regarding the necessary -- steps to be taken to secure an adequate representation of the interests of the Mysore Government in the management of the temple at Subramanya and requesting me to complete the enquiry referred to in para 3 of my letter dated the 9th August 1922 and to submit the matter for the orders of --- Government, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The Amildar and the Sub Division Officer of Sakleshpur who had the opportunity of studying the local conditions during their stay at Subramanya on the occasion of the Sarpahazar Santharpane were requested to furnish details about the mismanagement of the Mysore Charities in consultation with Messrs: Malali Venkataramaiya and T.Ramappaiya, the two non-official representatives deputed to Subramanya every

year.

year to supervise the Santharpane. Copies of their detailed reports are submitted herewith for the information of Government. The following are the items of mismanagement reported by the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer:-

(1) The amount allotted for Nityakatle expenses is not properly applied.

(2) The quantity of sandal wood supplied to the temple does not seem to be properly used.

(3) The present manager threw impediments in the way of the proper ~~superxixxxm~~ conduct of Barahazar Santharpane and he was discourteous to the Mysore Officers who were deputed for supervision on the occasion.

(4) The Manager refused to take work from one Yadavendra Achar who was duly appointed by the Sub Division Officer for the post of Mysore Agamik.

3. It is gathered from their report that proper accounts for the receipt and expenditure of the annual tasdik of R 591-13-0 have not been maintained, that 50 maunds of Mysore sandal wood were sold by the Manager at a low rate at Bangalore, that the Manager"tries to spoil the preparations made for the Barahazar Santharpane" and puts the thousands of devotees assembled at the Jatre to great trouble and that one Yadavendrachar who was appointed by the Sub Division Officer as Mysore Agamik was not taken on duty.

4. I am not sure whether sufficient evidence will be forthcoming to substantiate the misappropriation of funds as stated above. Further it seems not desirable that the Mysore Government should appear before the Collector as an applicant and subsequently in a Court of Law as a plaintiff. The Parpathegar of the Temple is stated to be one of the persons who have sought the sanction of the Collector for filing a

suit

suit in Court for a Scheme of management and such evidence of mismanagement as may be available may perhaps be let in ---- through him.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K Gopalarao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

D.O. letter No. 6/22-23 dated the 21st August 1922 from the Sub Division Officer, Saklespur Sub Division to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

With reference to your D.O. letter No.484/21 OC. dated the 9th August 1922, regarding the management of Mysore Charities at Subrahmanya, I beg to state, that so far as I am aware from enquiries made by me and after consultation with Messrs: Halali Venkataramaiya and H. Thimmappaiya, the following points about mismanagement of the Mysore Charities by Anantharamasarma, the present Manager seem to require suitable notice:-

1. There is a tasdik of R 581-13-0 per annum for Nityakatle expenses including (a) pay of establishment, (b) Daily Puja and Santharpane for 12 Brahmins and (c) Keeping two Nanda Deepas. It is learnt that the Sevas under (b) and (c) are not properly conducted and till it was found out at the last Champa Shashti Festival, even the usual Rajasirvada was not being repeated during the Puja. No proper accounts of the receipts and expenditure is maintained by the Manager and it is ascertained by the non-official gentlemen who observed the temple affairs during two periods of the Champa Shashashti festival that the Mysore Charities are not being admistered properly. The Mysore Parpathegar is completely ignored and he is not allowed by the Manager, Anantheramasarma to have any voice in the management of the Mysore Charities.

2. As regards Hetchukatle, i.e., the principle item of Champa Shashti festival, the Manager, Anantharamasarma has been systematically throwing impediments in the way of the proper conduct of the Santharpane by instigating the Temple servants not to serve etc., This was observed by me in 1920 and 1921.

3. The Manager, Anantharamasarma treats the Mysore Government officials, even the Higher Officers with the scantest courtesy.

87

courtesy.

4. Five maunds of sandal wood is being supplied annually by the Mysore Government for the use of the Sri Subrahmanya Temple. This is not being properly used. It has come to our notice that Manager, Anantharamasarma sold 30 maunds of our Mysore sandal wood, the accumulated surplus of several years for a low rate at Mangalore and so frustrated the very object of the gift which was that the sandal wood should be used up for the puja of the deity every year. This clearly shews that he has not been using our sandal-wood for the God properly.

5. Owing to his being at logger heads with the Sri Subrahmanya Mutt at the place, the Manager Anantharamasarma picks up quarrel about the distribution of provisions to the two institutions viz., the Temple and the Mutt and if his demands are not complied with arranges to spoil the preparations or delays the same and puts the thousands of the devotees who frequent the place during the Champashashti festival to great trouble and inconvenience, not to say starvation and indignity. I have seen this myself and the non-official gentlemen are also fully aware of this.

6. In short, the overbearing and patronizing conduct of Manager Anantharamasarma and his most indifferent ways of managing both the Nityakatle and Hetchukatle savas of our Government, seem to me to require thorough mending or ending once for all.

I enclose herewith, a copy of the Muzrai Superintendent's Order sanctioning the scale of expenditure for Nityakatle for the Mysore Charities at Subrahmanya. At present, there is a Parpatyegar on Rs 2/- monthly pay to wage the Mysore Charities and the Manager Anantharamasarma, is the Ex-officio Dharmadarsi on behalf of Mysore Government to supervise the State Charities at Subrahmanya (vide page 195 of the Mysore Muzrao anual). As regards the Champashashti Brahmarathotsava Sarvahazar Santharpane, the management is governed by para 4 of Government Order No. 2676-80 Muz. 174-07 dated the 6th May 1910 (pages 196 and 197 of the Muzrai

60.

Muzrao Manual) since 1920, two non-official gentlemen are also being deputed to associate with the officials in supervising the Santharpane and the necessity for such deputations is admitted by the Muzrai Secretary, Mr. T.A. Jayarama Iyer in his Inspection Notes of Subrahmanya dated 5th April 1922, which was sent to me with the Deputy Commissioner's D.O. letter No.xx C.25864-5 dated 21st April 1922.

3. I also beg to invite reference to my D.O. letter dated 25th April 1922 on the above inspection Notes, It is absolutely necessary that a scheme of management should be framed for the better management of the Temple. It does not, however, seem proper that the Mysore Government should be a Plaintiff in the suit, ~~that~~ but evidence may be let in as regards acts of mismanagement and urging for a Scheme in which, our Mysore Government Parpathegar should be a member having equal voice with the other members of the committee to be appointed. Parpathegar Srinivasa Rao who is in possession of definite acts of mismanagement by the Manager Anantharamasarma, may be deputed to give evidence on behalf of the Mysore Government in the suit for a Scheme and before the Collector to help him to give sanction to file the suit.

.....

" C O P Y "

K. Neelakantha Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

KRS

Report dated the 16th August 1928 from the Amildar of Manjarabad Taluk to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

With reference to your D.O. letter No.494-21 dated the 9th instant, regarding the suit for a Scheme of management of the Subrahmanya Temple, I beg to state as follows:-

Mr. Venkataramaiya not being in town, I consulted V. Thimmappiah and the note he has given on the subject on the subject is attached.

So far as this Government is concerned, the only services we are interested in are (1) the Nityakatle and (2) the Barahazar Santharpane. With regard to the former, it is understood that and services are not properly performed. No proper accounts are kept of the grants received by the People for the purpose. Copy of a letter which the Temple ~~Parpathegar~~ Parpathegar has written to the Huzrai Secretary to Government and sent to me for information is enclosed. The misuse of the supply of sandal wood is referred to by the Deputy Commissioner.

As regards the Barahazar Santharpane, the obstructive attitude of the Manager, deliberately delaying the Utsavas and --- functions and making devotees wait unnecessarily for the Santharpane and the want of due care and economy with regard to things supplied and his ~~ineffectory~~ effeontory and discourtesy to the Officers of this Government visiting the institution on duty, are fully brought out in Mr. Venkoba Rao's two notes on the last Santharpane. There is nothing more to be added to it.

The Manager's refusal to take work from one Vedavyasachar who was duly appointed by the Sub Division Officer for the post of Mysore Agamil is also a matter for note. The case has been separately submitted.

As regards the actual Scheme, in any Scheme that may be --

framed

framed, the Mysore Parpathegar, ex-officio, may be made one of the trustees or members of the Board of Management, as also a nomimee of the Mysore Government, be it an official or non-official. So far as Mysore services are concerned, the Parpathegar should be entrusted with the entire allotment and he should have the power to see that the services which have to be performed daily or periodically are performed at the proper time and in the proper manner, he making the daily supplies necessary therefor.

As regards the actual prosecution of the suit and the ~~xxxxx~~ letting in of evidence, it is doubtful if Government can take the part of a Plaintiff or petitioner in the suit with his -- offendant inconveniences and expenses. The opinion of the --- Government's legal advisor's will will have to be taken. I think it would suffice if the Parpathegar by himself is asked to be added as one of the Plaintiff. Mr. Srinivasa Rao has intimate/ intimate knowledge of the working of the institution and may be trusted to prosecute the suit successfully.

I understand unofficially that several of those who are interested in the suit at Subrahmanya have collected a mass of evidence indicating mismanagement and Mr. Sreenivasa Rao, by himself, is one such.

" C O P Y "

Je Mylarrao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Muz 4]

MYSORE RESIDENT'S OFFICE,

Bangalore, 25 October 192 22

No. 8301 Encls. 2
394-1898.

1077
22-23
265-21-15

A copy of the marginally noted letter, with enclosure, is forwarded with compliments, to the ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, for information with reference to his letter No. 6086/Muz/265-21-10, dated the 29th April 1922.

9527-1 L & M
No. 2 dated 11th October 1922.
from the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Local Self-Government, Department.

Secretary to the Resident.

PN.

R O. 106.—R. P. B.—7-1922.—2,000.

Muz 41

68

Letter o.9527-1 L.& M., dated the 11th October 1922, from the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Local Self-Government, to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

---:0:---

With reference to your letter dated 9th May 1922 regarding the representation of the Mysore Government in the management of the Sri Subramanyaswami temple at Subramanya in South Kanara, I enclose for the information of the Mysore Government a copy of the letter received from the Collector of South Kanara dated 15th June 1922.

Copy of letter from the Collector of South Kanara, No. 3244-22-L1, dated the 15th June 1922.

---:0:---

Subject:- Scheme suit in respect of the Subramania Temple in Uppinangadi Taluk.

No scheme suit appears to have been filed till now in respect of this temple. An application was made to this Office in March 1919 by one Subraya Hebbaru and four others for granting them permission to file such a suit but after enquiry it was dismissed in this office Dis.No.1729/Dev/20, dated 26th June 1920. The request of the Mysore Government will be borne in mind when occasion for action arises.

----:0:----

"C O P Y"

Sd. Registrar Resident's Office

Muz 4]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1077

Section Muz
File No. 265 – 21
Serial No. 16

Muzrai Secretariat
(Muz)
, *the* 192 .

No. 1744
one

Date of issue 13.11.22

To

The Muzrai Comr. in Mysore
Bangalore.

Signed by Mr J.E.J. Iyer

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no. 1138/Muz 583-20-4 dt. 28.9.22 transferring to you the file of correspondence on the subject of the affairs connected with Barahazar Sankaranarayana Subramanya, South Canara Dist., I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter no. 4527-1 L & M dt. 11.10.22 from the Secretary to the Govt. of Madras over local self-Govt. Deptt. on the subject.

89
9/11/22

11/11/22 Asst. Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

24256

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District,

No.104-31/C.C. Dated Hassan, the 4th December 1922.

From

K. Gopalarao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

MAIN & REV. SECTT.
7 - DEC 1922

MAIN & REV. SECRETARIAT
1265
MUTTAI
22-23

To

T.E. Jeyaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

In inviting your kind reference to my letter No.I.C. 75 dated the 2nd October 1922 submitting a detailed report, regarding the institution of a scheme suit for proper and better management of Sri Subramanya Swami temple in Bantwal-gady Taluk, I have the honor to request that the necessary orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter in your letter No.938/307-31-15 dated 23rd August 1922 the Collector of South Canara desires in his letter 22-31 dated 28nd November 1922 that the orders of Govern. may be communicated to him early.

2. A copy of the Collector's letter referred to above is sent herewith. The application to file the scheme suit relates is a recent one and is pending with the Collector The application referred to in the Collector's letter 31-31 dated 15th June 1922 a copy of which was forwarded by the Madras Government was furnished by you to the Dy. Commissioner with your letter dated 17th November 1922 a separate one filed in March 1922.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Gopalarao.

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

AS.

Letter No. [illegible] dated 2nd November 1922 from the Collector of South Canara, to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

67

Sri Subramanya Temple in Upinangady Taluk-Scheme for proper better management.

Referring to your letter No. M/C1-C.C. dated 9th August 1922, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to send me the orders of Government at an early date as promised therein.

In this connection, I have to point out that the matter has been pending from a long time and should therefore be disposed of soon.

" C O P Y "

K Anylarkao

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1265

Section Muz

File No. 265-21

Serial No. 18

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

, *the* 192 .

No. 1970 Communications will be made.

To

Date of issue 2.1.23

Signed by Mota. J.E.J Aiyar

The Muzrai Commr in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, the file of correspondence (Sls 5 to 17), as noted, ending with the letter dt 4-12-22 from the Asst Commr, Hassan Dist, [illegible] for proper and better management of Sri Subramanyaswamy

over.

Temples in Nellimanagadi
Taluk and to request that
your opinion in the matter
may kindly be furnished
at ~~the~~ above early date.

I have etc

[illegible]
Secretary

Rec: Despatch

26/12/22

26.12.22

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7 22

C 935 of 22-23
Encl 14 Communications with Encls

29636

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 27th/29th January 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1970/M.F.PCF-21-19 dated 3rd January 1923, forwarding for an expression of my opinion, the file of correspondence relating to the institution of a scheme suit for the proper and better management of the Sri Subrahmanya [illegible] in Uppinangadi Taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the recommendations made by the Deputy Commissioner in para 7 of his letter dated the 2nd October 1922 to the effect that it is not desirable that the Government of Mysore should appear before the Collector as an applicant and subsequently in a Court of Law as plaintiff and that such evidence as is necessary for our case may be let in through the Parpattegar of the Mysore Choultry [illegible] who, it seems, has sought the sanction of the Collector for filing a suit in Court for a scheme of management.

2. All possible help and available material for a successful conduct of the case may be given to the Parpattegar by the Deputy Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner may also be requested to direct the Sub Division Officer of Subramanya or the Assistant to the Collector of South Canara on the spot to be guided by this Office for giving all information before the necessary steps are recommended to launch the scheme suit.

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT Appeals of the Aburgai Committee's recommendations regarding the better management of the Magani Charities at Yelenahmanya, South Canara Dt., & requests him to take necessary action in the matter.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1528 of 22-23.

Section Mun
File No. 265-24
Serial No. 20

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 10-2-23

Signed by.... Mr. T. E. Rajan

Read— Correspondence ending with letter No. 4-935 of 22-23 dated 29th January 1923, from the Aburgai Committee, in charge, stating, with regard to the institution of a scheme suit per the ~~passed~~ better ma-

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

the Magani Charities in connection with magement of the Sri Venkatramanaswami Temple at Yelemahmanya in the Upparinangadi Taluk, South Canara Dt.,

73

agrees with the recommendations
made by the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dt., ~~in para 4 of his letter No.~~
~~Y. 4. 75 dated 2nd October 1922 to the~~
effect that it is not desirable that
the Govt of Mysore should appear
before the Collector of South Canara
as an applicant and subsequently
in a Court of Law as a plaintiff in the suit,
that such evidence as is necessary
for safeguarding the (of the Mysore Govt)
interests may
be furnished through the Panchaya-
yat of the Mysore Untanities at the
place who, it is understood, has ap-
plied for the sanction of the Col-
lector of South Canara for filing a suit in a Court
for settling a scheme of management, that
all possible help and available ma-
terial for the successful conduct of
the case may be given to the Panchaya-
yat by the Dy Commr and that the
latter may be requested to direct
the Govt Dy office in the Custody of

Ya Khesgiri

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Rec'd

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12 21

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

74

Yakkespur to meet the Collector of South Canara on such date as may be fixed by the latter for furnishing all necessary information before sanction is accorded to launch the scheme mooted.

Order No. 2245-6 dtd 2-23
Mer 265-2-23

The Mangalore Municipality's recommendations are approved and he is requested to take ~~prompt and necessary~~ all necessary action in the matter and submit a final report for the information of Govt.

Secretary to Govt, Revenue Dept.

To
The Mangalore Municipality in Mangalore
The Commissioner ...